IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT FOR THE DISTRICT OF OREGON

TRULY SOCIAL GAMES, LLC, an Oregon limited liability company,

Plaintiff,

v.

LEAF MOBILE, INC., a British Columbia corporation doing business as East Side Games Group, Inc.,

Defendant.

Case No. 3:22-cv-1663-AR

ORDER

Michael H. Simon, District Judge.

United States Magistrate Judge Jeffrey Armistead issued Findings and Recommendation in this case on December 11, 2023. Judge Armistead recommended that this Court grant Defendant's Motion to Dismiss (ECF 8), based on the doctrine of *forum non conveniens*. No party has filed objections.

Under the Federal Magistrates Act (Act), the court may "accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). If a party objects to a magistrate judge's findings and recommendations, "the court

shall make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings

or recommendations to which objection is made." Id.; Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b)(3).

If no party objects, the Act does not prescribe any standard of review. See Thomas v.

Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 152 (1985) ("There is no indication that Congress, in enacting [the Act],

intended to require a district judge to review a magistrate's report to which no objections are

filed."); United States. v. Reyna-Tapia, 328 F.3d 1114, 1121 (9th Cir. 2003) (en banc) (holding

that the court must review de novo magistrate judge's findings and recommendations if objection

is made, "but not otherwise").

Although review is not required in the absence of objections, the Act "does not preclude

further review by the district judge [] sua sponte . . . under a de novo or any other standard."

Thomas, 474 U.S. at 154. Indeed, the Advisory Committee Notes to Rule 72(b) of the Federal

Rules of Civil Procedure recommend that "[w]hen no timely objection is filed," the court review

the magistrate judge's findings and recommendations for "clear error on the face of the record."

No party having made objections, this Court follows the recommendation of the Advisory

Committee and reviews Judge Armistead's Findings and Recommendation for clear error on the

face of the record. No such error is apparent. Accordingly, the Court ADOPTS Judge

Armistead's Findings and Recommendation (ECF 26). The Court GRANTS Defendants' Motion

to Dismiss (ECF 8) and DISMISSES this case without prejudice.

IT IS SO ORDERED.

DATED this 23rd day of January, 2024.

/s/ Michael H. Simon

Michael H. Simon

United States District Judge

PAGE 2 – OPINION AND ORDER